Deep Learning

THEORY, HISTORY, STATE OF THE ART & PRACTICAL TOOLS

by Ilya Kuzovkin ilya.kuzovkin@gmail.com



http://neuro.cs.ut.ee

Machine Learning Estonia 2016



ndroids do dream of electric sheep

up feedback loop in its image recognition neural network - which

Algorithms developed by Google designed to encode thoughts, co computers with 'common sense' within a decade, says leading AI





Where it has started

Where it has started Artificial Neuron







1943 McCulloch and Pitts

"A Logical Calculus of the Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity"







1943 McCulloch and Pitts

"A Logical Calculus of the Ideas Immanent in Nervous Activity"





















"[The Perceptron is] the embryo of an electronic computer that [the Navy] expects will be able to walk, talk, see, write, reproduce itself and be conscious of its existence."

THE NEW YORK TIMES





Measure how small changes in weights affect output
Can apply NN to regression



Measure how small changes in weights affect output
Can apply NN to regression

(1974) 1986

(Werbos) Rumelhart, Hinton, Williams

"Learning representations by back-propagating errors" (Nature)



Measure how small changes in weights affect output
Can apply NN to regression

(1974) 1986

(Werbos) Rumelhart, Hinton, Williams

"Learning representations by back-propagating errors" (Nature)

Multilayer neural networks, etc.

Where it has started Why DL revolution did not happen in 1986?

FROM A TALK BY GEOFFREY HINTON

Where it has started Why DL revolution did not happen in 1986?

- Not enough data (datasets were 1000 times too small)
- Computers were too slow (1,000,000 times)

Where it has started Why DL revolution did not happen in 1986?

- Not enough data (datasets were 1000 times too small)
- Computers were too slow (1,000,000 times)
- Not enough attention to network initialization
- Wrong non-linearity















1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error





1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error

 $net_{h1} = w_1 * i_1 + w_2 * i_2 + b_1 * 1$ $net_{h1} = 0.15 * 0.05 + 0.2 * 0.1 + 0.35 * 1 = 0.3775$





1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error

 $net_{h1} = w_1 * i_1 + w_2 * i_2 + b_1 * 1$ $net_{h1} = 0.15 * 0.05 + 0.2 * 0.1 + 0.35 * 1 = 0.3775$







1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error

 $net_{h1} = w_1 * i_1 + w_2 * i_2 + b_1 * 1$ $net_{h1} = 0.15 * 0.05 + 0.2 * 0.1 + 0.35 * 1 = 0.3775$

$$out_{h1} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-net_{h1}}} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-0.3775}} = 0.593269992$$







1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error

 $net_{h1} = w_1 * i_1 + w_2 * i_2 + b_1 * 1$ $net_{h1} = 0.15 * 0.05 + 0.2 * 0.1 + 0.35 * 1 = 0.3775$ $out_{h1} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-net_{h1}}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-0.3775}} = 0.593269992$ Repeat for h2 = 0.596, o1 = 0.751, o2 = 0.773





The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error
We have o1, o2





1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error We have 01, 02 $E_{total} = \sum \frac{1}{2} (target - output)^2$





1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total errorWe have 01, 02 $E_{total} = \sum \frac{1}{2} (target - output)^2$

 $E_{o1} = \frac{1}{2}(target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 = \frac{1}{2}(0.01 - 0.75136507)^2 = 0.274811083$





1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error We have 01, 02 $E_{total} = \sum \frac{1}{2} (target - output)^2$ $E_{o1} = \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 = \frac{1}{2} (0.01 - 0.75136507)^2 = 0.274811083$ $E_{o2} = 0.023560026$





1. The Forward Pass — Calculating the total error We have 01, 02 $E_{total} = \sum \frac{1}{2} (target - output)^2$

 $E_{o1} = \frac{1}{2}(target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 = \frac{1}{2}(0.01 - 0.75136507)^2 = 0.274811083$ $E_{o2} = 0.023560026$

 $E_{total} = E_{o1} + E_{o2} = 0.274811083 + 0.023560026 = 0.298371109$



1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$.







1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$.

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$.

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$




1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_o}{\partial w_5}$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}}}_{\partial ut_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$
$$E_{total} = \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (target_{o2} - out_{o2})^2$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \left[\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5} \right]$$
$$E_{total} = \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (target_{o2} - out_{o2})^2$$
$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} = 2 * \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^{2-1} * -1 + 0$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}}}_{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

$$E_{total} = \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (target_{o2} - out_{o2})^2$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} = 2 * \frac{1}{2} (target_{o1} - out_{o1})^{2-1} * -1 + 0$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} = -(target_{o1} - out_{o1}) = -(0.01 - 0.75136507) = 0.74136507$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$.

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

 $out_{o1} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-net_{o1}}}$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$.

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

 $out_{o1} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-net_{o1}}}$

 $\frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} = out_{o1}(1 - out_{o1}) = 0.75136507(1 - 0.75136507) = 0.18681560$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

$$net_{o1} = w_5 * out_{h1} + w_6 * out_{h2} + b_2 * 1$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$

$$net_{o1} = w_5 * out_{h1} + w_6 * out_{h2} + b_2 * 1$$

$$\frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5} = 1 * out_{h1} * w_5^{(1-1)} + 0 + 0 = out_{h1} = 0.593269992$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

$$\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$. $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$

 $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = 0.74136507 * 0.186815602 * 0.593269992 = 0.082167041$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$. $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial out_{o1}} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial net_{o1}} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$ $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = 0.74136507 * 0.186815602 * 0.593269992 = 0.082167041$

$$w_5^+ = w_5 - \eta * \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = 0.4 - 0.5 * 0.082167041 = 0.35891648$$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$. $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial w_5} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$ Learning rate 5602 * 0.593269992 = 0.082167041 $w_5^+ = w_5 - \eta * \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = 0.4 - 0.5 * 0.082167041 = 0.35891648$





1. The Backwards Pass — updating weights

Consider w_5 . We want to know how much a change in w_5 affects the total error, aka $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5}$. $\frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} * \frac{\partial out_{o1}}{\partial w_5} * \frac{\partial net_{o1}}{\partial w_5}$ Learning rate 5602 * 0.593269992 = 0.082167041 $w_5^+ = w_5 - \eta * \frac{\partial E_{total}}{\partial w_5} = 0.4 - 0.5 * 0.082167041 = 0.35801648$ Gradient descent update rule $b = \mathbf{a} - \gamma \nabla F(\mathbf{a})$







• Repeat for w6, w7, w8







- Repeat for w6, w7, w8
- In analogous way for w1, w2, w3, w4





- Repeat for w6, w7, w8
- In analogous way for w1, w2, w3, w4
- Calculate the total error again: 0.291027924 it was: 0.298371109





- Repeat for w6, w7, w8
- In analogous way for w1, w2, w3, w4
- Calculate the total error again: 0.291027924 it was: 0.298371109
- Repeat 10,000 times:

0.000035085

How it learns Optimization methods



How it learns Optimization methods





How it evolved







INPUT

OUTPUT





92.5% on the MNIST test set



INPUT

OUTPUT





92.5% on the MNIST test set













98.2% on the MNIST test set

Alec Radford "Introduction to Deep Learning with Python"





Activity of a 100 hidden neurons (out of 625)



98.2% on the MNIST test set

Alec Radford "Introduction to Deep Learning with Python"



Under- and Over-fitting examples







(a) Standard Neural Net

Srivastava, Hinton, Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Salakhutdinov, "Dropout: A Simple Way to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting", 2014

Method	Test Classification error $\%$
L2	1.62
L2 + L1 applied towards the end of training	1.60
L2 + KL-sparsity	1.55
Max-norm	1.35
Dropout + L2	1.25
Dropout + Max-norm	1.05

Table 9: Comparison of different regularization methods on MNIST.



(b) After applying dropout.



Method	Test Classification error $\%$
L2	1.62
L2 + L1 applied towards the end of training	1.60
L2 + KL-sparsity	1.55
Max-norm	1.35
Dropout + L2	1.25
Dropout + Max-norm	1.05

Table 9: Comparison of different regularization methods on MNIST.





(b) After applying dropout.

	No.		10		1						2	100			100			6
1		10.1	あいた					Si a				1	22	3		•	1	1
	N.		調ぎ			N.	-		112							12	01	
100	の	197		影			1000					No.		345	No.	¢		
22																1		
	12.2	2					3	100	100		S.	~	展		100	-		•
	100	100	1	100	Sec.			and the	-			100				• `	1	•
22						R				100			N.	陵		12	•	1
	53	1		200			1				100		100	1	2		•	
	10.0				間		100		幕					-			•	•
	101	-					100					and the second	E State			•	3	
		100		100	Sec. 1			10.00								•	•	2.4
		-			公		100						御				Th	19)
-						1	織									9	in	1
	Supp.			Call I	100	100			124				200			0	12:	
242			12	1	the second											20	5	-

(a) Without dropout

(b) Dropout with p = 0.5.

Figure 7: Features learned on MNIST with one hidden layer autoencoders having 256 rectified linear units.

Srivastava, Hinton, Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Salakhutdinov, "Dropout: A Simple Way to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting", 2014

Method	Test Classification error $\%$
L2	1.62
L2 + L1 applied towards the end of training	1.60
L2 + KL-sparsity	1.55
Max-norm	1.35
Dropout + L2	1.25
Dropout + Max-norm	1.05

Table 9: Comparison of different regularization methods on MNIST.

20		14			22		100	Sec.	1		12			66	10.2
		100	ない					態		影		S.	2	3	
		R	12.2				-								
		1993					のない					No. of		30.00	
							ŝ.			8	100		2		St.
	200	12					3	100		No.		松	12	19	
			1	100								3			
				12		2								18	1
		1					1000				8			8	25
	120	100		37											
													192	6	
								100	10.2			20			
1		部				1	3		34			語			100
196				and a second			1831 1931				120	32	and a		200
					200				100	32			200		2
2.8		1	100	23	1	1000					1			-	

(b) Dropout with p = 0.5.





(a) Standard Neural Net

(b) After applying dropout.

Method	Phone Error Rate%		
NN (6 layers) (Mohamed et al., 2010)	23.4		
Dropout NN (6 layers)	21.8		
DBN-pretrained NN (4 layers)	22.7		
DBN-pretrained NN (8 layers) (Mohamed et al., 2010)	20.7		
DBN-pretrained NN (4 layers) + dropout	19.7		
DBN-pretrained NN (8 layers) + dropout	19.7		

Table 7: Phone error rate on the TIMIT core test set.

Method	CIFAR-10	CIFAR-100
Conv Net + max pooling (hand tuned)	15.60	43.48
Conv Net + stochastic pooling (Zeiler and Fergus, 2013)	15.13	42.51
Conv Net + max pooling (Snoek et al., 2012)	14.98	
Conv Net + max pooling + dropout fully connected layers	14.32	41.26
Conv Net + max pooling + dropout in all layers	12.61	37.20
Conv Net + maxout (Goodfellow et al., 2013)	11.68	38.57

Table 4: Error rates on CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100.



(a) Without dropout

Figure 7: Features learned on MNIST with one hidden layer autoencoders having 256 rectified linear units.

Srivastava, Hinton, Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Salakhutdinov, "Dropout: A Simple Way to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting", 2014













Neuron	MNIST	CIFAR10	NISTP	NORB						
Without unsupervised pre-training										
Rectifier	1.43%	50.86%	$\mathbf{32.64\%}$	16.40%						
Tanh	1.57%	52.62%	36.46%	19.29%						
Softplus	1.77%	53.20%	35.48%	17.68%						


- Several hidden layers
- ReLU activation units
- Dropout





- Several hidden layers
- ReLU activation units
- Dropout





- Several hidden layers
- ReLU activation units
- Dropout

99.0% on the MNIST test set







Prewitt edge detector





Prewitt edge detector







Prewitt edge detector



























How it evolved Convolution







Edge detector is a handcrafted feature detector.



The idea of a convolutional layer is to learn feature detectors instead of using handcrafted ones



The idea of a convolutional layer is to learn feature detectors instead of using handcrafted ones





The idea of a convolutional layer is to learn feature detectors instead of using handcrafted ones



99.50% on the MNIST test set CURRENT BEST: **99.77%** by committee of 35 conv. nets

http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/







ILSVRC 2015 winner — 152 (!) layers

Task 2a: Classification+localization with provided training data

Ordered by localization error

Team name	Entry description	Localization error	Classification error	K. He et a	
MSRA	Ensemble A for classification and localization.	0.090178	0.03567	Residual	
MSRA	Ensemble B for classification and localization.	0.090801	0.03567	for In	
MSRA	Ensemble C for classification and localization.	0.092108	0.0369	Recog	
Trimps-Soushen	combined 12 models	0.122907	0.04649	20	
	Ensemble of 9 NeoNets with bounding box regression. Weighted				

al., "Deep Learning nage nition", 15

- Network:
 - architecture
 - number of layers
 - number of units (in each layer)
 - type of the activation function
 - weight initialization
- Convolutional layers:
 - size

. . .

- stride
- number of filters
- Optimization method:
 - learning rate
 - other method-specific constants

- Network:
 - architecture
 - number of layers
 - number of units (in each layer)
 - type of the activation function
 - weight initialization
- Convolutional layers:
 - size

. . .

- stride
- number of filters
- Optimization method:
 - learning rate
 - other method-specific constants

Grid search :(

- Network:
 - architecture
 - number of layers
 - number of units (in each layer)
 - type of the activation function
 - weight initialization
- Convolutional layers:
 - size

. . .

- stride
- number of filters
- Optimization method:
 - learning rate
 - other method-specific constants

Grid search :(

Random search :/

- Network:
 - architecture
 - number of layers
 - number of units (in each layer)
 - type of the activation function
 - weight initialization
- Convolutional layers:
 - size
 - stride
 - number of filters
- Optimization method:
 - learning rate
 - other method-specific constants

Grid search :(

Random search :/

Bayesian optimization :)



Snoek, Larochelle, Adams, "Practical Bayesian Optimization of Machine Learning Algorithms"

- Network:
 - architecture
 - number of layers
 - number of units (in each layer)
 - type of the activation function
 - weight initialization
- Convolutional layers:
 - size
 - stride
 - number of filters
- Optimization method:
 - learning rate
 - other method-specific constants

Grid search :(

Random search :/

Bayesian optimization :)



Informal parameter search :)

Snoek, Larochelle, Adams, "Practical Bayesian Optimization of Machine Learning Algorithms"

How it evolved Major Types of ANNs



O

How it evolved Major Types of ANNs





convolutional



recurrent

How it evolved Major Types of ANNs





convolutional



recurrent



What is the state now

What is the state now Computer vision



Kaiming He, et al. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition" 2015



"man in black shirt is playing guitar."



"construction worker in orange safety vest is working on road."



"girl in pink dress is jumping in air."



"black and white dog jumps over bar."

What is the state now Natural Language Processing



speech recognition + translation

PANDARUS:

Alas, I think he shall be come approached and the day When little srain would be attain'd into being never fed, And who is but a chain and subjects of his death, I should not sleep.

Second Senator:

They are away this miseries, produced upon my soul, Breaking and strongly should be buried, when I perish The earth and thoughts of many states.

DUKE VINCENTIO:

Well, your wit is in the care of side and that.

Second Lord:

mhow would be unled often this showbour and

http://smerity.com/articles/2015/keras_qa.html

1 John moved to the bedroom.

2 Mary grabbed the football there.

- 3 Sandra journeyed to the bedroom.
- 4 Sandra went back to the hallway.
- 5 Mary moved to the garden.
- 6 Mary journeyed to the office.
- 7 Where is the **football**? office 2 6

Facebook bAbi dataset: question answering

equation of the second seco	hub.io/2015/05/21/rnn-effectiveness
<title>Antichrist</title>	
<id>865</id>	
<revision></revision>	
<id>15900676</id>	
<timestamp>2002-08-03T18:14:12Z</timestamp>	.mestamp>
<contributor></contributor>	
<username>Paris</username>	
<id>23</id>	
<minor></minor>	
<comment>Automated conversion<th>ent></th></comment>	ent>
<text xml:space="preserve">#REDIREC</text>	T [[Christianity]]

What is the state now AI



DeepMind's DQN

What is the state now AI





Figure 3: Right: StarCraft 2-vs-2 combat. Our models learn to concentrate fire on the weaker of the two enemy bots.

	2 vs 2	Kiting	Kiting hard
Attack weakest	85%	0%	0%
2 layer NN	80% (38k)	89% (190k)	30% (275k)
MemNN	80% (83k)	92% (120k)	41% (360k)

Table 2: Win rates against StarCraft built-in AI.



DeepMind's DQN

What is the state now Neuroscience





Layer 3

Laver

Güclü and Gerven, "Deep Neural Networks Reveal a Gradient in the Complexity of Neural Representations across the Ventral Stream", 2015

Layer 4

Laver 5
How can you use it





• Go to https://github.com/BVLC/caffe/wiki/Model-Zoo, pick a model



• ... and use it in your application



• Go to https://github.com/BVLC/caffe/wiki/Model-Zoo, pick a model



- ... and use it in your application
- Or ...

5 N X X X X X X X	1 11 12 17 16 19 12 12	A State of the sta	10 (10 - 10 (00) (00) (00)	1 × 30 30
		• 今天不好不少~	N LANK X X W	
				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		() 与 起 想 题 题 题 题 题	the the second	
		0 2 3 3 8 e e e e	· ** * * * * * * *	1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Layer 1	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Layer 3	Layer 4 X X	Layer 5



Go to <u>https://github.com/BVLC/caffe/wiki/Model-Zoo</u>, pick a model



- ... and use it in your application
- Or ..



• ... use part of it as the starting point for your model





How can you use it Zoo of Frameworks

Low-level

High-level & Wrappers

theano









Deeplearning4j 💿

Open-source, distributed deep learning for the JVM on Spark with GPUs
 San Francisco, Outer Spa...
 http://deeplearning4j.org
 help@skymind.io



```
from keras.models import Sequential
 2 from keras.layers.core import Dense, Dropout, Activation
 3 from keras.optimizers import SGD
 4
 5
   X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = # LOAD YOUR DATA
 6
 7
   model = Sequential()
   model.add(Dense(64, input_dim=20, init='uniform', activation='relu'))
 8
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
 9
   model.add(Dense(64, init='uniform', activation='tanh'))
10
11
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
   model.add(Dense(2, init='uniform', activation='softmax'))
12
13
14
   sgd = SGD(lr=0.1, decay=1e-6, momentum=0.9, nesterov=True)
   model.compile(loss='mean_squared_error', optimizer=sgd)
15
16
17
   model.fit(X_train, y_train, nb_epoch=20, batch_size=16)
   score = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test, batch_size=16)
18
```



```
from keras.models import Sequential
 2 from keras.layers.core import Dense, Dropout, Activation
 3
   from keras.optimizers import SGD
 4
   X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = # LOAD YOUR DATA
 5
 6
 7
   model = Sequential()
   model.add(Dense(64, input_dim=20, init='uniform', activation='relu'))
 8
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
 9
   model.add(Dense(64, init='uniform', activation='tanh'))
10
11
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
   model.add(Dense(2, init='uniform', activation='softmax'))
12
13
14
   sgd = SGD(lr=0.1, decay=1e-6, momentum=0.9, nesterov=True)
   model.compile(loss='mean_squared_error', optimizer=sgd)
15
16
17
   model.fit(X_train, y_train, nb_epoch=20, batch_size=16)
   score = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test, batch_size=16)
18
```



```
from keras.models import Sequential
 2 from keras.layers.core import Dense, Dropout, Activation
   from keras.optimizers import SGD
 3
 4
   X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = # LOAD YOUR DATA
 5
 6
 7
   model = Sequential()
   model.add Dense(64, input_dim=20, init='uniform', activation='relu'))
 8
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
 9
   model.add(Dense(64, init='uniform', activation='tanh'))
10
11
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
   model.add(Dense(2, init='uniform', activation='softmax'))
12
13
14
   sgd = SGD(lr=0.1, decay=1e-6, momentum=0.9, nesterov=True)
   model.compile(loss='mean_squared_error', optimizer=sgd)
15
16
17
   model.fit(X_train, y_train, nb_epoch=20, batch_size=16)
   score = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test, batch_size=16)
18
```



```
from keras.models import Sequential
 2 from keras.layers.core import Dense, Dropout, Activation
 3 from keras.optimizers import SGD
 4
   X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = # LOAD YOUR DATA
 5
 6
 7
   model = Sequential()
   model.add Dense(64, input_dim=20, init='uniform', activation='relu'))
 8
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
 9
   model.add(Dense(64, init='uniform', activation='tanh'))
10
11
   model.add(Dropout(0.5))
   model.add(Dense(2, init='uniform', activation='softmax'))
12
13
14
   sgd = SGD(lr=0.1, decay=1e-6, momentum=0.9, nesterov=True)
   model.compile(loss='mean_squared_error', optimizer=sgd)
15
16
17
   model.fit(X_train, y_train, nb_epoch=20, batch_size=16)
   score = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test, batch_size=16)
18
```















- A Step by Step Backpropagation Example <u>http://mattmazur.com/2015/03/17/a-step-by-step-backpropagation-example</u>
- Online book by By Michael Nielsen
 <u>http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com</u>
- CS231n: Convolutional Neural Networks for Visual Recognition <u>http://cs231n.stanford.edu/</u>